

Total Score _____

Ranking _____

2016 Renewal Pre-Application Rating and Ranking Scoring Criteria

Organization _____ Project Name _____

Is applicant a Domestic Violence Shelter or Provider? Yes No

Reviewer _____ Date _____

A. Renewal Pre-Application/Program Administration: 25 Possible Points		
	Possible Score	Project Score
1. Was the Pre-Application submitted by the deadline? Yes=5, No=0	5	
2. Was the Pre-Application complete and accurate? <i>For example, were all required documents attached; were all questions fully answered; was all identifying information regarding the applicant accurate?</i> Yes=5, No=0	5	
3. Does the applicant demonstrate experience necessary to carry out the activities of the project as described? Yes=5, No=0	5	
4. If the applicant has any existing HUD McKinney-Vento grants (including CoC and ESG grants) that have unresolved monitoring or audit findings, does the applicant provide a plan to apply corrective actions? Yes=5, No=0, No issues=5	5	
5. Has CoC identified any concerns regarding the applicant's ability to administer a new grant? Yes=0, No=5	5	
Total Score for Section A	25	
B. HMIS/ServicePoint (SP) Participation: 27 Possible Points; Minimum=15 Points		
This section addresses ensuring all program participants are included in HMIS/SP and are listed completely	Possible Score	Project Score
1. Did the Applicant run at least one data completeness report card? <i>(data completeness report card should be attached to the pre-application)</i> Yes ____ No ____ A=7, B=5, C=3, D=2, F=1 No report=0	7	
Note: If applicant is a DV shelter and/or service provider, participation in HMIS/SP is optional. A 'waiver' will be given to such applicants, and the score for this section would be 7.		

<p>2. Did applicant’s percentage of beds entered into HMIS/ServicePoint meet the projected numbers listed in the Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)?</p> <p><80%= 0, 80%-90%=7, >90%-95%=10, >95%=15</p> <p>Note: If applicant project is an SSO (supportive services only), there is no equivalent question on the APR for this type of project. A ‘waiver’ will be given to such applicants, and the auto-score for this section would 15.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NOTE: 100%=5 additional points</p>	20	
Total Score for Section B		27
C. Standard Performance Measures: 25 Possible Points		
	Possible Score	Project Score
<p><u>If permanent housing</u></p> <p>1. For applicants with 5 or more units/households (HH), did applicant meet or exceed HUD’s national goal for clients remaining in permanent housing for more than 6 months or longer? (80% national goal)</p> <p><80%= 0, 80%-90%=12, >90%-95%=15, >95%=20</p> <p>For applicants with 4 or fewer beds, did applicant meet or exceed HUD’s national goal for clients remaining in permanent housing for more than 6 months or longer? If only one unit/HH is unoccupied, applicant will be given the ‘80%-90%’ points. More than one unoccupied unit/HH will receive a score of 0 while all units/HH occupied will receive the ‘>95% points’ score. (80% national goal)</p> <p><80%= 0, 80%-90%=12, >90%-95%=15, >95%= 20</p> <p><i>Note: Units/HH counts for each applicant will be found in the project application worksheet/GIW.</i></p>	20	
<p><u>If transitional housing / SSO project</u></p> <p>2. For applicants with 5 or more units/HH, did applicant meet or exceed HUD’s national goal to maximize number of clients exiting into permanent housing? (65% national goal)</p> <p><65%= 0, 65%-75%=12, >75%-85%=15, >95%=20</p> <p>For applicants with 4 or fewer units/HH, did applicant meet or exceed HUD’s national goal to maximize number of clients exiting into permanent housing? If only one bed is unoccupied, applicant will be given the ‘65%-75% points.’ More than one unoccupied unit/HH will receive a score of 0 while all units/HH occupied will receive the ‘>95% points’ score.</p> <p><65%= 0, 65%-75%=12, >75%-85%=15, >95%=20</p>	20	

<p>3. In the past 12 months, was funding for this CoC project deobligated, returned/not spent (goes back to HUD)?</p> <p>If yes, what percentage of the total grant was returned? _____ 0%=5, 1% to 3%=4, 3% to 5%=3, 5% to 7%=2, 7% to 10%=1, >10%=0</p>	5	
Total Score for Section C		
D. Engagement in CoC Activities: 23 Possible Points		
This section will determine level of grantee commitment to CoC operations.	Possible Score	Project Score
<p>1. Did a representative of the applicant actively serve on the Board (regional representative/alternate, member) and/or actively participate on a sub-committee or otherwise participate in the CoC/HTF during the last year?</p> <p>Note: Participation is always voluntary, but organizations which participate in actual operation of the ROCC will be eligible for these additional points. Calculation will use percentage of # of scheduled meetings per ‘type’ and/or specific ‘asks’ for assistance:</p> <p><50%=0, 50%-65%=1, 66%-75%=2, 76%-82%=5. 83%=6, >83%=7</p>	7	
<p>2. Did a representative of the applicant attend officially scheduled monthly CoC/HTF meetings with an attendance record of 83% or better? (<i>review of official/ CoC/HTF C meeting attendance record maintained by HTF & HTF Core Group Chair</i>)</p> <p><50%=0, 50%-65%=1, 66%-75%=2, 76%-82%=4. 83%=5, >83%=6</p>	6	
<p>3. Did the applicant submit Point-in-Time Count data by the deadline?</p> <p>Yes=5, No=0</p>	5	
<p>4. Did the applicant submit the Housing Inventory Chart data by deadline?</p> <p>Yes=5, No=0</p>	5	
Total Score for Section D		
23		
<p><i>TA/more information requested?</i> _____</p> <p>Notes:</p>		

